

**Impact of climate change on grassland****Introduction**

Grassland accounts for 70% of the UK agricultural land and approximately 32% of agricultural output (IGER, 2003). Increased concentrations of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and changes in climate in the future are likely to have an impact on grassland and production of grazing animals. Effects might include changes in the grazing season, yield, species and nutrient composition.

**Impact of climate change**

The UKCP09 future weather projections suggest overall warming accompanied by wetter winters and dryer summers (Jenkins et al., 2009). Grassland is sensitive to drought (MAFF, 2000) and dryer summers in the future might place limitations on grass growth on soils with low soil available water in the summer months (St. Claire et al., 2009). More drought resistant species might be required to meet livestock grazing needs or increased costs associated with additional feeding might occur. Drought will also increase the risk of wildfires affecting extensive grazing areas. Wetter winters may cause water logging and problems of poaching, possibly resulting in animal having to be housed, and reduced opportunities for grazing and harvesting (Hopkins and Del Prado, 2007). Good soil structure to promote resilience to the effects of drought and water logging should be encouraged (IGER, 2004). Draining water logged soil would encourage early grass growth, but at the expense of a reduction in water availability in July and August (Armstrong and Castle, 1992).

Temperature rise is likely to increase the length of the grazing season (Topp and Doyle, 1996a; IGER, 2003) with grass production starting earlier in the spring and continuing later into the autumn. This could have advantages for availability of grass during early lambing. Although the rate of photorespiration increases with temperature (Nowak et al., 2004), potential for increased herbage will be limited by nitrogen availability and in some areas irrigation during dry months and drainage during wet months (IGER, 2004). The longer growing season may result in increased grassland yield (ADAS, 1997) though other models suggest that the longer growing season might only increase clover production and have little effect on yield overall after the impact of drought is taken into account (Topp and Doyle, 1996a; Grime et al., 2008).

**Impact of increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations**

There is consensus that increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration increases the rate of photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation while decreasing stomatal conductance (for reviews see

(Nowak et al., 2004; Soussana and Luscher, 2007). Overall this is likely to increase grassland yield under future elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Experiments where atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is enriched to levels predicted in the future, known as Free Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment (FACE), indicate on a frequently cut sward yield might increase by 56% with increased temperature and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration compared with an ambient baseline (IGER, 2003). While nitrogen (N) availability has no direct effect on photo-synthetic rates, N availability is frequently a limiting resource for plant growth (Aeschlimann et al., 2005). Thus adaptation in the future might involve increased use of legumes with ability to fix nitrogen. In fertile grasslands legumes benefit more from elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations than non fixing species (Luscher et al 1998). However, legumes might be limited from responding to atmospheric conditions by a lack of other nutrients such as phosphorus. Increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels might also help grass to survive in droughty conditions when stomatal conductance is reduced (Soussana and Luscher, 2007); although (Nowak et al., 2004) reported FACE data provide only limited support for this assumption. The response of grasslands to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations over the longer term did not vary across 10 years of experiment data (Ainsworth et al., 2003).

#### **Impact of climate change and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on species composition**

Changes in temperature, rainfall and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration might affect different forage species in different ways therefore altering the species composition of the grassland community. Overall conditions are likely to become more favourable for forage legumes (Soussana and Luscher, 2007). Management factors such as frequency of defoliation, will also be important in determining how species diverse communities respond to changes in climate and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Teyssonneyre et al., 2002; Harmens et al., 2004). C<sub>3</sub>-grasses, that have higher protein content than C<sub>4</sub>-grasses and may therefore have higher nutritional value, will have increased competitive advantage over C<sub>4</sub>-grasses under conditions of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Ehleringer et al., 2002; Soussana and Luscher, 2007). However, the projected increase in temperature will favour C<sub>4</sub>-species (Soussana and Luscher, 2007) therefore the outcome remains difficult to predict. In general, species-rich grasslands are likely to be more productive in response to elevated levels of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration than species-poor grasslands (Reich et al., 2001).

#### **Impact of climate change and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on grassland nutrition**

The main factors that determine the nutritional quality of grassland are; dry matter content, digestibility, energy and protein (HCC, 2008). The protein provided by forage is related to the nitrogen content (Rinehart, 2008) and elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations have been shown to be associated with reduced shoot nitrogen (Soussana et al., 1996; Ehleringer et al.,

2002; IGER, 2003), as well as reduced vitamins (Soussana et al., 1996) and increased soluble carbohydrate (Ehleringer et al., 2002; IGER, 2003). Age of the plant also affects the nutritional content of forage. Older plants have less protein, lower digestibility and more fibre (National Research Council, 1985; Rinehart, 2008). Therefore increased growth rate associated with increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, which might allow younger plants to be consumed, might help increase the protein and the soluble carbohydrates content for livestock (Rinehart, 2008). Although the exact impact of climate change on grassland nutrient content remains unclear, there are some indications that reduced protein in grazing might impact negatively on weight gain in cattle (Owensby et al 1996 in (Topp and Doyle, 1996b; Ehleringer et al., 2002; Craine et al., 2009). Published studies of the impact of climate change on nutrition of grazing sheep appear lacking to date. As stated by (Campbell et al., 2000), forage quality in the future could decline in systems where feed conversion efficiency is limited by protein and might increase or remain unchanged in systems where it is limited by carbohydrate availability

#### References

- ADAS, 1997. Defra Research Project CC0315 Integrated models of grassland and livestock systems to assess the impact of climate change. ADAS, BBSRC, Silsoe Research Institute.
- Aeschlimann, U., Nosberger, J., Edwards, P.J., Schneider, M.K., Richter, M., Blum, H., 2005. Responses of net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in managed grassland to long-term CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment, N fertilization and plant species. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 28, 823-833.
- Ainsworth, E.A., Davey, P.A., Hymus, G.J., Osborne, C.P., Rogers, A., Blum, H., Nosberger, J., Long, S.P., 2003. Is stimulation of leaf photosynthesis by elevated carbon dioxide concentration maintained in the long term? A test with *Lolium perenne* grown for 10 years at two nitrogen fertilization levels under Free Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment (FACE). *Plant, Cell and Environment* 26 705-714.
- Armstrong, A.C., Castle, D.A., 1992. Potential Impacts of climate change on patterns of production and the role of drainage in grassland. *Grass and Forage Science* 47, 50-61.
- Campbell, B.D., Stafford Smith, D.M., members, G.P.a.R.N., 2000. A synthesis of recent global change research on pasture and rangeland production: reduced uncertainties and their management implications. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 82, 39-55.
- Craine, J.M., Elmore, A.J., Olson, K.C., Tolleson, D., 2009. Climate change and cattle nutritional stress. *Global Change Biology* doi: 10.1111/j.1365-24862009.02060.x.

- Ehleringer, J.R., Cerling, T.E., Dearing, M.D., 2002. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> as a Global Change Driver Influencing Plant-Animal Interactions. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 42, 424-430.
- Grime, J.P., Fridley, J.D., Askew, A.P., Thompson, K., Hodgson, J.G., Bennett, C.R., 2008. Long-term resistance to simulated climate change in an infertile grassland. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105, 10028-10032.
- Harmens, H., Williams, P.D., Bambrick, M.T., Hopkins, A., T.W., A., 2004. Impacts of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on plant community structure of a temperate grassland are modulated by cutting frequency. *Grass and Forage Science* 59, 144-156.
- HCC, 2008. Grassland Management. In: Wales, H.m.p. (Ed.), Aberystwyth.
- Hopkins, A., Del Prado, A., 2007. Implications of climate change for grassland in Europe: impacts, adaptations and mitigation options: a review. *Grass and Forage Science* 62, 118-126.
- IGER, 2003. Influence of climate change on the sustainability of grassland systems in England and Wales (CTE9907) - CC0359. Institute of Grassland and Environmental Research, Okehampton.
- IGER, 2004. Impacts of climate change on the agricultural industry: a review research outputs from Defra's CC03 and related research programmes. Institute of Grassland and Environmental Research, Okehampton.
- Jenkins, G., Murphy, J., Sexton, D., Lowe, J., Jones, P., Kilsby, C., 2009. UKCP09 Briefing report Met Office Hadley Centre, Climatic Research Unit, University of East Anglia, University of Newcastle.
- MAFF, 2000. Climate Change and Agriculture in the UK.
- NationalResearchCouncil, 1985. Nutrient Requirements of Sheep. NATIONAL ACADEMY PRESS Washington, D.C.
- Nowak, R.S., Ellsworth, D.S., Smith, S.D., 2004. Functional responses of plants to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>- do photosynthetic and productivity data from FACE experiments support early predictions? *New Phytologist* 162, 253-280.
- Reich, P.B., Knops, J., Tilman, D., Craine, J., Ellsworth, D., Tjoelker, M., Lee, T., Wedin, D., Naeem, S., Bahauddin, D., Hendrey, G., Jose, S., Wrage, K., Goth, J., Bengtson, W., 2001. Plant diversity enhances ecosystem responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and nitrogen deposition. *Nature* 410, 809-810.
- Rinehart, L., 2008. Ruminant Nutrition for Graziers. In: Service, N.S.A.I. (Ed.).
- Soussana, J.F., Casella, E., Loiseau, P., 1996. Long-term effects of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment and temperature increase on a temperate grass sward. II. Plant nitrogen budgets and root fraction. *Plant and Soil* 182, 101-114.

- Soussana, J.F., Luscher, A., 2007. Temperate grasslands and global atmospheric change: a review. *Grass and Forage Science* 62, 127-134.
- St. Claire, S.B., Sudderth, E.A., Fischer, M.L., Torn, M.S., Stuart, S.A., Salve, R., Eggetts, D.L., Ackerly, D.D., 2009. Soil drying and nitrogen availability modulate carbon and water exchange over a range of annual precipitation totals and grassland vegetation types. *Global Change Biology* 15, 3018-3030.
- Teyssonneyre, F., Picon-Cochard, C., Falcimagne, R., Soussana, J.F., 2002. Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and cutting frequency of plant community structure in a temperate grassland. *Global Change Biology* 8, 1034-1046.
- Topp, C.F.E., Doyle, C.J., 1996a. Simulating the impact of global warming on milk and forage production in Scotland:1 the effects on dry-matter yield of grass and grass-white clover swards. *Agricultural Systems* 52, 213-242.
- Topp, C.F.E., Doyle, C.J., 1996b. Simulating the impact of global warming on milk and forage production in Scotland:2. the effects on milk yield and grazing management of dairy herds. *Agricultural Systems* 52, 243-270.