

## **Appendix N: Prioritisation Exercise**

(Cross reference to Section 5 of the Report)

This Appendix provides the results of the prioritisation exercise which identifies the sites for potential future surveys.

This is based on all features within the Tranche 1 sites as recommended by the Regional MCZ Projects

Site	% Features proposed for designation with low/no confidence in extent	Features with no confidence?	Prioritisation Score (extent)		Initial prioritisation score	Final prioritisation score	Comments
				Irreplaceability			
rMCZ2	73		Y HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ3	50		0 HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	Tenellia has restricted distribution, moderate confidence extent and >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ6	70		0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ7	75		Y HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ11.4	88		Y HIGH	LOW	HIGH	LOW	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ13.2	55		0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ16	100		0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	LOW	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ25.1	25		Y HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	Caecum has restricted distribution, moderate confidence extent and >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ26	33		0 MEDIUM	LOW	MEDIUM	LOW	Restricted distribution feature not present in site

H=6

M=0

L=3

Site	% Features proposed for designation with low/no extent confidence	Features with no Prioritisation confidence? Score (extent)	Irreplaceability	Initial prioritisation score	Final prioritisation score	Comments
rMCZ1	75	0 HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	Cold water coral has restricted distribution, low confidence extent and assumed >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ2	100	0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ7	100	0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	LOW	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ14	80	0 HIGH	MEDIUM	HIGH	HIGH	Gobius couchi has restricted distribution, low confidence in extent and <20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ16	100	0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ19	43	0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ22	57	0 HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	Padina has restricted distribution, low confidence extent and >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ24	75	0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ27	83	0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ28	41	0 HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	Amphianthus dohrnii has restricted distribution, moderate confidence in extent and >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ29	63	0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ32	59	0 HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	LOW	Maerl, Leptopsammia pruvoti and Amphianthus dohrnii have restricted distribution, low, low and medium confidence extent respectively and assumed >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ35a	78	Y HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	extent and assumed >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ35b	86	Y HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	extent and assumed >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ35c	88	Y HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ35d	100	Y HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ35e	87	Y HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	extent and assumed >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ35f	65	Y HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	confidence extent and assumed >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ35g	81	Y HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	extent and assumed >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ35h	67	Y HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	extent and assumed >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ35i	63	Y HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	extent and assumed >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ35j	67	Y HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	confidence extent and assumed >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ35k	43	0 HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	confidence extent and assumed >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ35l	69	Y HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	extent and assumed >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ35m	79	Y HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	extent and assumed >20% of resource with rMCZ
rMCZ38	73	0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
rMCZ41	0	0 MEDIUM	LOW	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	Restricted distribution feature not present in site

H=24  
M=1  
L=2

<b>Site</b>	<b>% Features proposed for designation with low/no extent confidence</b>	<b>Features with no confidence?</b>	<b>Prioritisation Score (extent)</b>	<b>Irreplaceability</b>	<b>Initial prioritisation score</b>	<b>Final prioritisation score</b>	<b>Comments</b>
ISCZ 05	25		0 MEDIUM	LOW	MEDIUM	LOW	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
ISCZ 08	100		0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
ISCZ 11	75		0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
ISCZ 14	100		0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
						H=3 M=0 L=1	

Site	% Features proposed for designation with low/no extent confidence	Features with no confidence?	Prioritisation Score (extent)	Irreplaceability	Initial prioritisation score	Final prioritisation score	Comments
NG 13a	83		0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
NG 15	75		0 HIGH	LOW	HIGH	LOW	Restricted distribution feature not present in site
NG 16	33		0 MEDIUM	LOW	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	Restricted distribution feature not present in site

H=1  
M=1  
L=1