

Key	
✓	Features proposed for designation within site

Text colours

Data available at the outset of this project (Regional MCZ Project data and SNCB data) - Blue

New spatially referenced data - Red

Anecdotal/non spatial information - Green

Aerial photography - Purple

Confidence Assessment - colour coding

High	H
Moderate	M
Low	L

rMCZ	
Stour and Orwell Estuaries	rMCZ2
Blackwater, Crouch, Roach and Colne Estuaries	rMCZ3
Medway Estuary	rMCZ6
Thanet Coast	rMCZ7
Folkestone Pomerania	rMCZ11.4
Beachy Head West	rMCZ13.2
Kingmere	rMCZ16
Pagham Harbour	rMCZ25.1
Hythe Bay	rMCZ26

	Feature Presence				Feature Extent				Feature Condition			
	Evidence description		Evidence for confidence assessment	Confidence assessment	Evidence description		Evidence for confidence assessment	Confidence assessment	Evidence for confidence assessment		Evidence for confidence assessment	Confidence assessment
	All available evidence	Evidence used directly in the confidence assessment	MB0102	Comment	All available evidence	Evidence used directly in the confidence assessment	MB0102	Comment	All available evidence	Evidence used directly in the confidence assessment	MB0102	Comment
Broadscale Habitats												
High energy intertidal rock	<p>Feature polygon data from MESH MB0102 (survey ID: GB000282). 4 feature data points from MESH (survey IDs: JNCCMNCR10005369, JNCCMNCR10005366, JNCCMNCR20016177, JNCCMNCR10005729). No additional GI data identified. No anecdotal data.</p> <p>Aerial imagery from Bing maps, 2012 does not have high enough resolution to determine intertidal habitat.</p>	<p>Polygon data from MESH MB0102 (survey ID: GB000282) with a MESH confidence score less than 58.</p> <p>4 feature data points from MESH (survey IDs: JNCCMNCR10005369, JNCCMNCR10005366, JNCCMNCR20016177, JNCCMNCR10005729).</p> <p>No parent feature data points with the polygon.</p>	L		<p>Feature polygon data from MESH MB0102 (survey ID: GB000282). 4 feature data points from MESH (survey IDs: JNCCMNCR10005369, JNCCMNCR10005366, JNCCMNCR20016177, JNCCMNCR10005729). No additional GI data identified. No anecdotal data.</p> <p>Aerial imagery from Bing maps, 2012 does not have high enough resolution to determine intertidal habitat.</p>	<p>Polygon data from MESH MB0102 (survey ID: GB000282) with a MESH confidence score less than 58.</p> <p>4 feature data points from MESH (survey IDs: JNCCMNCR10005369, JNCCMNCR10005366, JNCCMNCR20016177, JNCCMNCR10005729).</p> <p>No parent feature data points with the polygon.</p>	L		<p>Sensitivity matrix from MB0102.</p>	<p>This feature is not considered highly sensitive to any pressures considered within the MB0102 sensitivity X pressures matrix and hence confidence in condition, based on this, is assessed as low.</p>	L	
Intertidal mixed sediments	<p>Polygon data from MB0102 MESH (Survey IDs: GB001070, GB000282 and GB000372). 3 feature data points from MESH (survey IDs: JNCCMNCR10005367, JNCCMNCR20014980, JNCCMNCR10005713). 10 feature data points from Regional Project data BS (layer name: All_Biotopes_Final_MCZ, no survey ID) these points are from EA database compiled by Ian Humphreys. No additional GI data identified. No anecdotal data.</p> <p>Aerial imagery from Bing maps, 2012 does not have high enough resolution to determine intertidal habitat.</p>	<p>Polygon data from MB0102 MESH (Survey IDs: GB001070, GB000282 and GB000372) with a MESH confidence score less than 58.</p> <p>3 feature data points from MESH (survey IDs: JNCCMNCR10005367, JNCCMNCR20014980, JNCCMNCR10005713).</p> <p>10 feature data points from Regional Project data BS (layer name: All_Biotopes_Final_MCZ, no survey ID) 1 out of 13 feature data points overlap the feature polygon. 4 data points overlap the feature polygon 1 out of 4 (25%) agree with the feature polygon. 2 out of 4 (50%) overlap polygon data.</p>	L		<p>Polygon data from MB0102 MESH (Survey IDs: GB001070, GB000282 and GB000372). 3 feature data points from MESH (survey IDs: JNCCMNCR10005367, JNCCMNCR20014980, JNCCMNCR10005713). 10 feature data points from Regional Project data BS (layer name: All_Biotopes_Final_MCZ, no survey ID) these points are from EA database compiled by Ian Humphreys. No additional GI data identified. No anecdotal data.</p> <p>Aerial imagery from Bing maps, 2012 does not have high enough resolution to determine intertidal habitat.</p>	<p>Polygon data from MB0102 MESH (Survey IDs: GB001070, GB000282 and GB000372) with a MESH confidence score less than 58.</p> <p>3 feature data points from MESH (survey IDs: JNCCMNCR10005367, JNCCMNCR20014980, JNCCMNCR10005713).</p> <p>10 feature data points from Regional Project data BS (layer name: All_Biotopes_Final_MCZ, no survey ID) 1 out of 13 feature data points overlap the feature polygon. 4 data points overlap the feature polygon 1 out of 4 (25%) agree with the feature polygon.</p>	L		<p>Sensitivity matrix from MB0102.</p>	<p>This feature is not considered highly sensitive to any pressures considered within the MB0102 sensitivity X pressures matrix and hence confidence in condition, based on this, is assessed as low.</p>	L	
Habitats												
Native Oyster <i>Ostrea edulis</i> beds	<p>No feature data point or polygon data. No additional GI data identified. Anecdotal evidence: Rees, H. L., R. Waldock, et al. (2001). Improvements in the fauna of the Crouch estuary (United Kingdom) following a decline in TBT concentrations. ICES, Copenhagen.</p>	<p>The anecdotal evidence gives a description of Native Oyster stocks in the estuary as in decline since 1951. The evidence confirms the presence of the feature however without any GI data only a Low score is achieved.</p>	L		<p>No feature data point or polygon data. No additional GI data identified. Anecdotal evidence: Rees, H. L., R. Waldock, et al. (2001). Improvements in the fauna of the Crouch estuary (United Kingdom) following a decline in TBT concentrations. ICES, Copenhagen.</p>	<p>The anecdotal evidence gives a description of Native Oyster stocks in the estuary as in decline since 1951. The evidence confirms the presence of the feature however does not include any biotope maps and without</p>	L		<p>Sensitivity Matrix MB0102</p>	<p>Native oyster were considered sensitive (with moderate or high confidence) to pressures resulting from human activities by project MB0102. However</p>	L	
Species												
Low or limited mobility species												
<i>Ostrea edulis</i>	<p>17 feature data points from MB0102 (Survey IDs: MRMLN0010000009, MRMLN0010000003, MRMLN0010000004, MRMLN0010000008, MRMLN001000000C, MRMLN0010000010E, JNCCMNCR10000341, MRMLN0010000112, JNCCMNCR10000347, JNCCMNCR2000808). New feature polygon data from Essex Wildlife Trust (layer names: Dredge_Data_results_2012_MCZ, Dredge_Data_results_2012_RA, Mersea_Reference_Area_MCZ, Ray_sand_sample_MCZ, South_Bank_Samples_MCZ, no survey ID).</p> <p>40 New feature data points from Cofas (Survey IDs: CROUCHEP87, CROUCHEP88, CROUCHEP89, CROUCHEP92, CROUCHEP95, AD205TBTEP, CROUCHEP95). 1 New feature data points from Environment Agency (survey ID: EID00000010).</p>	<p>68 feature data points collected from specialists however all are older than 6 years. MB0102, Cofas, Environment Agency WED and Marine Records). 63 feature polygons collected by specialists (Essex Wildlife Trust) and less than six years old. The anecdotal evidence helps to validate the presence of the feature however the confidence score was already high.</p>	H		<p>17 feature data points from MB0102 (Survey IDs: MRMLN0010000009, MRMLN0010000003, MRMLN0010000004, MRMLN0010000008, MRMLN001000000C, MRMLN0010000010E, JNCCMNCR10000341, MRMLN0010000112, JNCCMNCR10000347, JNCCMNCR2000808). New feature polygon data from Essex Wildlife Trust (layer names: Dredge_Data_results_2012_MCZ, Dredge_Data_results_2012_RA, Mersea_Reference_Area_MCZ, Ray_sand_sample_MCZ, South_Bank_Samples_MCZ, no survey ID).</p> <p>40 New feature data points from Cofas (Survey IDs: CROUCHEP87, CROUCHEP88, CROUCHEP89, CROUCHEP92, CROUCHEP95, AD205TBTEP, CROUCHEP95). 1 New feature data points from Environment Agency (survey ID: EID00000010).</p>	<p>68 feature data points collected from specialists however all are older than 6 years. MB0102, Cofas, Environment Agency WED and Marine Records). 63 feature polygons collected by specialists (Essex Wildlife Trust) and less than six years old.</p>	H		<p>Sensitivity matrix from MB0102. Charting Progress (Fishing datalayers, shellfish production layers, waste disposal) UK HO (Navigation dredging). Crown Estate (aggregate extraction)</p>	<p>Project MB0102 considered this species to be sensitive to a range of activities. Confidence in condition is assessed as low, as other activities did not occur (aggregate extraction) there was no evidence for impacting activities (navigation dredging) or the spatial footprint did not overlap the site (waste disposal, some fishing mellers). Although shellfish harvesting does occur it was considered that management measures would be in place to prevent degradation of resource. To reflect these uncertainties confidence was not raised to moderate.</p>	L	
<i>Tenella adspersa</i>	<p>No polygon data. 4 feature data points from MB0102 (Survey IDs: MRMLN0010000013D and MRMLN0010000013D).</p>	<p>5 feature data points from MB0102 (Survey IDs: MRMLN0010000013D and MRMLN0010000013D).</p>	M		<p>No polygon data. 4 feature data points from MB0102 (Survey IDs: MRMLN0010000013D and MRMLN0010000013D).</p>	<p>5 feature data points from MB0102 (Survey IDs: MRMLN0010000013D and MRMLN0010000013D).</p>	M		<p>Sensitivity matrix from MB0102.</p>	<p>This feature is not considered highly sensitive to any pressures.</p>	L	
Highly mobile species												
<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>	<p>No polygon data. 8 new feature data points from the Environment Agency (survey ID: EID00000010). Anecdotal evidence: Colclough, S., L. Fonseca, et al. (2005). "Fish utilisation managed realignments." Fisheries Management and Ecology 12(6): 351-360. A. anguilla caught at Orplands managed realignment in the Blackwater Estuary. Eel, bass, common goby and sand-smelt captured. Total catch of 862 fish during August 2003.</p>	<p>8 new feature data points from the Environment Agency (survey ID: EID00000010). 8 data points; of which 5 are less than 6 years old and 3 are less than 12 years, all collected by specialists (EA). The anecdotal evidence helps to validate the presence of the feature however the confidence score was already high.</p>	H		<p>No polygon data. 8 new feature data points from the Environment Agency (survey ID: EID00000010). Anecdotal evidence: Colclough, S., L. Fonseca, et al. (2005). "Fish utilisation managed realignments." Fisheries Management and Ecology 12(6): 351-360. A. anguilla caught at Orplands managed realignment in the Blackwater Estuary. Eel, bass, common goby and sand-smelt captured. Total catch of 862 fish during August 2003.</p>	<p>8 new feature data points from the Environment Agency (survey ID: EID00000010). 8 data points; of which 5 are less than 6 years old and 3 are less than 12 years, all collected by specialists (EA).</p>	H		<p>Sensitivity matrix from MB0102. Charting Progress (Fishing datalayers)</p>	<p>Anguilla anguilla were considered likely to be highly sensitive to fishing pressures and, potentially, to water abstraction (it should be noted that this species was not assessed as part of the MB0102 sensitivity matrix). The fisheries datalayers are too coarse to indicate whether this inshore site (and hence this mobile species features) were overlapped by these activities. Commercial fishing would not occur at the inshore margins. Water abstraction is not considered to exert</p>	L	
Mobile Species not listed in ENG												
Additional												
Clacton Cliffs and Foreshore	<p>No data point or polygon data. No additional GI data identified. No anecdotal data.</p>	<p>No data available.</p>	N		<p>No data point or polygon data. No additional GI data identified. No anecdotal data.</p>	<p>No data available.</p>	N					

